

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/601,476	06/24/2003		Edwin G. Duffin	P-9797.00	7245
27581	7590	02/14/2006		EXAMINER	
MEDTRONIC, INC.				KAHELIN, MICHAEL WILLIAM	
710 MEDTRONIC PARK MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55432-9924			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,			3762	

DATE MAILED: 02/14/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		\mathcal{M}					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Comments	10/601,476	DUFFIN ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Michael Kahelin	3762					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNI 136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO e, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 J	anuary 2006.						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	s action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under B	•	• •					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9 and 12-20</u> is/are pending in the a	pplication.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>12-20</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc	epted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	- · ·	·					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	•	,,, ,					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer. Note the attache	d Office Action or form P1O-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
 Certified copies of the priority document 	s have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority document		··					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio	•	received in this National Stage					
application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	received					
See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not	received.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🔲 Other:	·					

Application/Control Number: 10/601,476 Page 2

Art Unit: 3762

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Cancellation of claims 10 and 11 is acknowledged.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed 1/26/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant amended independent claim 1 to more expressly define the claimed invention. Specifically, Applicant added the limitations of the electrodes being distributed in a circumferential array; and that the axial position of the connector bore contact and lead body contact form a relationship so as to select an electrode, which is in contrast to Sluetz, which merely changes the polarity of the electrodes. However, the amendments to claim 1 fail to differentiate from Sluetz in the "broadest reasonable interpretation" of the amended claim language. Namely, Sluetz's distal electrodes can be interpreted as a "circumferential array" because there are multiple electrodes (an array) that surround the circumference of the lead body. Additionally, the limitation of "selecting an electrodes...as a selected electrode" does not differentiate over changing the polarity of electrodes because there is no limitation to what "selected" constitutes. For instance, the Sluetz's electrodes are selected to be positive or selected to be negative.

Application/Control Number: 10/601,476 Page 3

Art Unit: 3762

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

- 4. Claims 1, 2, 5, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sluetz et al. (Re. 31,990).
- 5. In regards to claim 1, Sluetz et al. disclose a system comprising an array of circumferential electrodes (68 and 69), an assembly of insulated conductors (65), a lead connector with a linear array of contacts to select an electrode (Fig. 1), a pulse generator with a connector bore (18) wherein at each position of the lead connector, a lead connector contact makes connection with the connector bore contact (16), and a means for reversibly locking the connector along multiple positions in the bore (col. 6, line 21).
- 6. In regards to claim 2, the connector comprises a second contact that connects with a lead connector contact at each position of the lead connector (17).
- 7. In regards to claim 5, the means for locking the connector includes a deflectable member projecting into the bore (25).
- 8. In regards to claim 9, the means for locking includes an actuated member (27 and col. 6, line 3).

Application/Control Number: 10/601,476 Page 4

Art Unit: 3762

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 11. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Sluetz et al. Sluetz et al. discloses the features of the claimed invention, including the application to a cascaded array of any number of electrodes (col. 3, line 54), as well as a contact that is longer than another contact if elements 5 and 20 are interpreted as being a single contact (because they are electrically connected via 55). Alternatively, Sluetz does teach of providing an electrode selection system with a conductor that engages a device contact at each of several positions to change the electrode configuration from stimulation to sensing, make the ground electrode a stimulating electrode, or alter the output in any other fashion (col. 6,

Art Unit: 3762

line 46) with a minimal number of contacts. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Sluetz et al.'s invention with a connector contact that is longer than another connector contact to alter the electrode configuration with a minimal number of contacts.

- 12. Claims 4 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sluetz et al. in view of Bischoff et al. (5,843,141). Sluetz et al. disclose the essential features of the claimed invention except for an insertion tool and a set of spacers with surface depressions in which deflectable members rest. Bischoff et al. teach of providing a multiple connector lead system with an insertion tool to easily pull the lead into cooperation with the energy applicator and a set of spacers with surface depressions in which deflectable members rest to electrically isolate the electrodes and provide a fixation means for the lead. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Sluetz et al.'s invention with an insertion tool to easily pull the lead into engagement with the energy applicator and a set of spacers with surface depressions in which deflectable members rest to electrically isolate the electrodes and provide a fixation means for the lead. Please note that the surface depressions can be seen in Figure 6.
- 13. Claims 6 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sluetz et al. in view of Peers-Trevarton (4,469,104). Sluetz et al. disclose the essential features of the claimed invention except for deflectable members that rest in surface depressions on the contacts and deflectable members that rest in depressions apart from the array of contacts. Peers-Trevarton teach of providing a multiple electrode

Art Unit: 3762

connection device with deflectable members that rest in surface depressions on contacts (140) to ensure a close electrical communication between the two contacts and deflectable members that rest in depressions apart from the array of contacts (101) to create a barrier between fluids and the contacts and provide a tight fit for the lead in the bore. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Sluetz et al.'s invention with deflectable members that rest in surface depressions on contacts to ensure a close electrical communication between the two contacts and deflectable members that rest in depressions apart from the array of contacts to create a barrier between fluids and the contacts and provide a tight fit for the lead in the bore. Please note that the examiner is interpreting the surface depression in which the deflectable member rests is the unlabelled feature in proximity to element 172 in Figure 1.

Conclusion

14. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Application/Control Number: 10/601,476

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3762

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Kahelin whose telephone number is (571) 272-8688. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on (571) 272-4955. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MWK ML HALL

GEORGE R. EVANISKO PRIMARY EXAMINER 2/10/6

Page 7